## Introduction to Smooth Manifolds, by John Lee Chapter 9 Solutions

Vinicius C. Costa

## June 19, 2021

**Problem 9-1.** Suppose M is a smooth manifold,  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , and  $\gamma$  is a maximal integral curve of X.

- (a) We say  $\gamma$  is **periodic** if there is a number T > 0 such that  $\gamma(t + T) = \gamma(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that exactly one of the following holds:
  - $\gamma$  is constant.
  - $\gamma$  is injective.
  - $\gamma$  is periodic and nonconstant.
- (b) Show that if  $\gamma$  is periodic and nonconstant, then there exists a unique positive number T (called the **period of**  $\gamma$ ) such that  $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t')$  if and only if t t' = kT for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (c) Show that the image of  $\gamma$  is an immersed submanifold of M, diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}, S^1$ , or  $\mathbb{R}^0$ .
- Solution. (a) Let the domain of  $\gamma$  be (a, b). Assume it is not constant nor injective. Let t < t' be with  $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t')$ . Let T = t' t > 0. Then Theorem 9.12b tells us that

$$\mathcal{D}^{\gamma(t+T)} = \mathcal{D}^{\gamma(t)} - T.$$

However,  $\mathcal{D}^{\gamma(t)}$  is the same as  $\mathcal{D}^{\gamma(t+T)}$ , so  $\mathcal{D}^{\gamma(t)}$  must be  $\mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, letting  $\theta$  be the flow induced from X,

$$\theta(s+T,\gamma(t)) = \theta(s,\gamma(t+T)) = \theta(s,\gamma(t)),$$

for all real s. This shows  $\theta^{(\gamma(t))} = \gamma$  is periodic (and nonconstant, as we wanted).

(b) There is T, the smallest positive number such that  $\gamma(t+T) = \gamma(t)$  for all t.

If it doesn't exist, then there is an infimum  $T^*$  of those periods, and hence a Cauchy sequence that converges to it. It is easy to see that if  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are in the set of periods, then so does  $|T_1 - T_2|$ . Since the sequence is Cauchy we conclude the infimum  $T^*$  must be zero.

Fix some valid time  $t_0$ . Then  $\gamma(t_0)$  is in every neighborhood of  $\gamma(t)$  in M, for every t, by choosing appropriately small period  $\varepsilon > 0$  for the size of the neighborhood. That is, we choose a neighborhood of  $\gamma(t)$  in M, which by continuity contains  $\gamma(t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then we can choose a period p small enough such that  $t_0$  plus a multiple of p lies in  $(t - \varepsilon, t + \varepsilon)$ .

This conclusion contradicts the Hausdorff property of M, so we conclude there is a smallest period.

Now it is easy to see that all other periods are just multiples of T, else we can subtract T enough times to find a yet smaller period.

In particular, by the argument of (a) we know  $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t')$  if and only if t - t' is in the set of periods for  $\gamma$ . That is, T satisfies the property of the **period**.

To show unicity, just consider that another period kT, for integer k would not divide (t + T) - t, even though  $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t + T)$ .

(c) If  $\gamma$  is constant, then the image of  $\gamma$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^0$ .

If  $\gamma$  is nonconstant, its derivative never vanishes, by Prop. 9.12. This means  $\gamma$  is a smooth immersion of the open interval J into M. If  $\gamma$  is injective, this means it is an injective smooth immersion, and J (which is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ ) is diffeomorphic to the image of  $\gamma$  by Prop. 5.18.

If  $\gamma$  is periodic and nonconstant, we can consider the smooth covering map

 $\pi: \mathbb{R} \to S^1, \quad t \mapsto e^{2\pi i t/T},$ 

where T is the period as in (b). Since  $\gamma$  is constant on the fibers of  $\pi$ , we must have a map  $\tilde{\gamma}: S^1 \to M$  with  $\tilde{\gamma} \circ \pi = \gamma$ . Since  $\gamma(t) = \gamma(t')$  if and only if t - t' = kT,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is injective.

Now since  $\pi$  is a local diffeomorphism,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is also a smooth immersion. By Prop. 5.18, the image of  $\gamma$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^1$ , as we wanted to show.

**Problem 9-2.** Suppose M is a smooth manifold,  $S \subseteq M$  is an immersed submanifold, and V is a smooth vector field on M that is tangent to S.

- (a) Show that for any integral curve  $\gamma$  of V such that  $\gamma(t_0) \in S$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\gamma((t_0 \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon)) \subseteq S$ .
- (b) Now assume S is properly embedded. Show that any integral curve that intersects S is contained in S.
- (c) Give a counterexample to (b) if S is not closed.
- Solution. (a) Consider  $i: S \hookrightarrow M$  the smooth immersion. There's V tangent to S, and there is U, a vector field in S that is *i*-related to V by Prop 8.23. Given the integral curve  $\gamma$  of V through  $\gamma(t_0)$ , there is also an integral curve of U through  $i^{-1}(\gamma(t_0))$ , which we call  $\eta$ . We choose a parametrization of  $\eta$ so that  $i \circ \eta(t_0) = \gamma(t_0)$ . By Prop. 9.2,  $\eta$  is defined on a neighborhood of  $t_0$ .

By Prop. 9.6,  $i \circ \eta$  is an integral curve of V passing through  $\gamma(t_0)$ , and by unicity of integral curves  $i \circ \eta = \gamma$  in some neighborhood of  $t_0$ . In particular this shows there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $\gamma(t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) = i \circ \eta(t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) \subseteq S$ .

(b) Assume that  $\gamma$  starts at  $p \in S$ . Assume by way of contradiction that  $\gamma$  is not contained in S. Without loss of generality assume that there is a positive time where  $\gamma$  is outside S (the negative case is handled similarly). Let  $t^*$  be the infimum of t > 0 such that  $\gamma(t) \notin S$ . By part (b) we must have that this infimum is in the set itself, else  $\gamma(t^*) \in S$  and we can find a larger lower bound. This means that  $\gamma(t^*/2, t^*) \subseteq S$ . Since S is closed,

$$\gamma(t^*) \in \gamma(\overline{(t^*/2, t^*)}) \subseteq \overline{\gamma(t^*/2, t^*)} \subseteq S,$$

a contradiction. We conclude the infimum doesn't exist and the image of  $\gamma$  is contained in S.

(c) Consider the open unit disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and the Euler vector field. Any radial maximal integral curve intersects the disk, but is not contained in it.

**Problem 9-4.** For any integer  $n \geq 1$ , define a flow on the odd-dimensional sphere  $S^{2n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  by  $\theta(t,z) = e^{it}z$ . Show that the infinitesimal generator of  $\theta$  is a smooth nonvanishing vector field on  $S^{2n-1}$ . [Remark: case n = 2, the integral curves of X are the curves  $\gamma_z$  of Problem 3-6, so this provides a simpler proof that each  $\gamma_z$  is smooth.]

Solution. If the flow is  $\theta$  we have  $\dot{\theta}^{(z)}(0) = ie^{it}z(0) = iz \neq 0$ . This shows the infinitesimal generator of  $\theta$  is a smooth nonvanishing vector field on  $S^{2n-1}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Problem 9-5.** Suppose M is a smooth, compact manifold that admits a nowhere vanishing smooth vector field. Show that there exists a smooth map  $F: M \to M$  that is homotopic to the identity and has no fixed points.

Solution. Since M is compact, the nowhere vanishing smooth vector field V generates a complete flow. This also gives that each  $\theta_t : M \to M_t = M$  is a diffeomorphism homotopic to the identity with homotopy  $\theta$ :

The map will be injective because  $\theta_t(p) = \theta_t(q)$  implies p = q. It will be surjective because  $\theta_{-t}(p)$  maps to p. And it is a local diffeomorphism because

$$\theta_t \circ \theta_{-t} = \mathrm{Id}$$
$$\theta_{-t} \circ \theta_t = \mathrm{Id}.$$

Since all points are regular points for V, we cover M with regular coordinate cubes  $(s^1, ..., s^n)$  where in each,  $V_p = \frac{\partial}{\partial s^1}\Big|_p$ . Then for each cube we consider even smaller (of half side) regular coordinate cubes so that in each we can move some  $t_i$  time forward in the flow and not have any fixed points (that is,  $\theta_{t_i}|_{C_i}$  does not have fixed points, where  $C_i$  are the smaller cubes).

By compactness of M, we can choose finitely many of these cubes  $C_i$  to cover M. Let  $t = \min t_i$ , and

$$\theta_t: M \to M$$

will be by construction a smooth map homotopic to the identity  $\theta_0$  with no fixed points.

Obs: we can say more, that it will be a smooth isotopy of M with no fixed points.  $\Box$ 

**Problem 9-6.** Prove the escape lemma. Suppose M is a smooth manifold and  $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . If  $\gamma : J \to M$  is a maximal integral curve of V whose domain J has a finite least upper bound b, then for any  $t_0 \in J$ ,  $\gamma([t_0, b))$  is not contained in any compact subset of M.

Solution. Suppose there is  $t_0$  such that  $\gamma[t_0, b)$  is precompact. Then let K be its closure. One can now cover K with precompact coordinate balls. Then one can also consider the same neighborhoods but with half the radii. These are also pre-compact. Since these also cover K, we can take finitely many, and call them  $U_1, ..., U_m$ .

Now we consider a bump function  $\psi: M \to \mathbb{R}$  such that it is one on  $\bigcup_{1}^{m} \overline{U_{j}}$ , and supported on  $\bigcup_{1}^{m} U_{j}^{2}$ , where  $U_{j}^{2}$  are the original precompact neighborhoods.

In this construction  $\psi V$  is now a compactly supported smooth vector field of M, thus generating a complete flow.

Since the two vector fields agree on  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} U_j$ , we conclude they generate the same flow there. In particular, in  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} U_j$ , V and  $\psi V$  should generate the same integral

curve through  $\gamma(t_0)$  (with translations to make sure that  $\tilde{\gamma}(t_0) = \gamma(t_0)$ ). We can consider now  $\tilde{\gamma}(b)$ , which will be in

$$\tilde{\gamma}(\overline{t_0, b}) \subseteq \overline{\gamma(t_0, b)} \subset K \subset \cup_i^m U_i,$$

so that  $\tilde{\gamma}$  extends  $\gamma$  further. This contradicts the maximality of  $\gamma$ . We conclude  $\gamma[t_0, b)$  is not contained in any compact subset of M.

**Problem 8-4.** Let M be a smooth manifold with boundary. Show that there exists a global smooth vector field on M whose restriction to  $\partial M$  is everywhere inward-pointing, and one whose restriction to  $\partial M$  is everywhere outward-pointing.

Solution. We show it for inward-pointing, and the outward pointing is achieved by multiplying the field by -1.

We cover the boundary of M with regular coordinate half-balls, and then consider the same regular coordinate half-balls with half the radius.

In each of the larger regular coordinate half-balls we can define a smooth vector field by  $V_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}$ . Then in each, we consider the bump function which is 1 in the closure of the smaller half-ball, and vanishes outside the larger half-ball. If we denote the half ball by  $U_i$  and the larger by  $U_i^2$ , we have a bump function  $b_i: M \to \mathbb{R}$  which is 1 on  $U_i$  and 0 outside  $U_i^2$ .

Then  $b_i V_i$  becomes a smooth vector field on M which is inward pointing in  $\partial M \cap U_i$ .

We now consider the open cover of M consisting of  $U_i$  and  $M \setminus \partial M$ , and a partition of unity subordinate to it, denoted  $\psi_i : M \to \mathbb{R}$ .

We claim  $V = \sum \psi_i b_i V_i$  is everywhere inward-pointing.

For every  $p \in \partial M$ , the vector field becomes  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \psi_i V_i$ , where  $U_1, ..., U_k$  are the patches that contain p. Since each  $(V_i)_p$  is inward pointing, we have a sum of inward pointing (or zero) tangent vectors, which must then be inward-pointing also.

**Problem 9-7.** Let M be a connected smooth manifold. Show that the group of diffeomorphisms of M acts transitively on M: that is, for any  $p, q \in M$ , there is a diffeomorphism  $F: M \to M$  such that F(p) = q. [Hint: first prove that if  $p, q \in \mathbb{B}^n$  (the open unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ), there is a compactly supported smooth vector field on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  whose flow  $\theta$  satisfies  $\theta_1(p) = q$ .]

Solution. Let p and q be points in the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Take coordinates for it such that q - p is parallel to the  $x^n$ -axis. Let  $V = q - p = |q - p| \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}$  for every point of the ball. Then it is clear this will generate a complete flow in the ball, and that  $\theta_1(p) = q$ . To make it compactly supported, consider the bump function  $b : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  that is 1 on the smallest closed ball containing both p and q (so radius max |p|, |q|) and vanishes outside  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Then bV is the vector field we're looking for.

For the smooth connected manifold M, we take a smooth path  $\gamma: I \to M$  from p to q, and cover it with finitely many regular coordinate balls whose center lies in the path, by compactness of I. Then we can order these by their centers, and call them  $U_1, ..., U_k$ . We must have that  $U_i$  and  $U_{i+1}$  intersect, so we can take points in the intersection of adjacent coordinate balls, so  $p = p_0 \in U_1, p_1 \in U_1 \cap U_2, ..., p_k = q \in U_k$ . From the construction on  $\mathbb{B}^n$  above we can build  $V_i$ , smooth vector fields in M, compactly supported in  $U_i$ , such that the flow  $\theta_i$  they generate is complete and satisfy  $(\theta_i)_1(p_{i-1}) = p_i$ .

The composition

$$(\theta_k)_1 \circ (\theta_{k-1})_1 \circ \cdots \circ (\theta_1)_1 : M \to M$$

is a diffeomorphism, and it brings p to q, as we wanted.

Problem 9-11. Prove Theorem 9.24:

(Boundary Flowout Theorem) Let M be a smooth manifold with nonempty boundary, and let N be a smooth vector field on M that is inward-pointing at each point of  $\partial M$ . There exist a smooth function  $\delta : \partial M \to \mathbb{R}^+$  and a smooth embedding  $\Phi : \mathcal{P}_{\delta} \to M$ , where

$$\mathcal{P}_{\delta} = \{(t, p) : p \in \partial M, 0 \le t < \delta(p)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \partial M,$$

such that  $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{\delta})$  is a neighborhood of  $\partial M$ , and for each  $p \in \partial M$  the map  $t \mapsto \Phi(t, p)$  is an integral curve of N starting at p.

Solution. Since N is smooth on  $\partial M$ , at every p there is a regular coordinate chart  $\varphi: U \to \mathbb{H}^n$  such that  $N \circ \varphi^{-1}$  has an extension to a smooth vector field on a neighborhood of  $\mathbb{H}^n$ .

Since  $N_p \neq 0$ , we can choose the chart and the neighborhood such that  $\hat{N}$  has only regular points in this neighborhood of  $\mathbb{H}^n$ .

By Theorem 9.20, considering the embedded hypersurface

$$\{x^n = 0\} = \varphi(U \cap \partial M) = S,$$

and the flow  $\theta: \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  of  $\hat{N}$  which has  $\hat{N}_p \notin T_p S$ , we have a smooth positive function  $\delta: \varphi(U \cap \partial M) \to \mathbb{R}^+$  and a domain  $\mathcal{O}_\delta \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{D} \cap (\mathbb{R} \times S)$  such that  $\Phi = \theta|_{\mathcal{O}_\delta}$  is a diffeomorphism onto an open submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (containing S).

If we consider instead  $\mathcal{P}_{\delta} = \{(t, p) : p \in S, 0 \leq t < \delta(p)\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\delta}$ , we can show that  $\Phi(\mathcal{P}_{\delta}) \subseteq \mathbb{H}^{n}$ .

In fact, if for some  $(t,q) \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta}$ ,  $\Phi(t,q)$  is in the lower half-space, then the integral curve  $\theta^{(q)} : [0, \delta(p)) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , which starts at q with upward-pointing tangent vector (in our coordinates an inward-pointing vector in  $T_q \partial M$  corresponds to an upwardpointing vector in  $T_{\varphi(q)}\mathbb{R}^n$ ) would have to return to the boundary. At the first such point N would have to be tangent to  $\partial M$  or outward-pointing.

In addition, the paragraph above indicates that no point in the image of  $\mathcal{O}_{\delta} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\delta}$  is in  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . A negative time t with  $\theta(t,q)$  in  $\{x^n \geq 0\}$  would have to return to the boundary  $\{x^n = 0\}$  before or at time 0, which would imply that N is outward-pointing or tangent to  $\partial M$  at the first such point.

This shows that  $\Phi$  sends  $\mathcal{P}_{\delta}$  diffeomorphically to  $\Phi(\mathcal{O}_{\delta}) \cap \mathbb{H}^n$ , which is an open submanifold of  $\mathbb{H}^n$  containing its boundary (since  $\Phi(\mathcal{O}_{\delta})$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing  $\{x^n = 0\}$ ).

Sending it back to M, we showed the existence of a  $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_{\delta}} = (\mathrm{Id} \times \varphi^{-1})(\mathcal{P}_{\delta}) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times (U \cap \partial M)$  associated to a smooth function  $\delta \circ \varphi : U \cap \partial M \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , a smooth embedding  $\varphi^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ (\mathrm{Id} \times \varphi) : \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_{\delta}} \to M$  such that its image is a neighborhood of  $U \cap \partial M$ , and we showed also that for each  $p \in U \cap \partial M$  the map  $t \mapsto \varphi^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ (\mathrm{Id} \times \varphi)(t, p)$  is an integral curve of N starting at p (because  $t \mapsto \Phi(t, p)$  is an integral curve of  $\hat{N}$  in  $\mathbb{H}^n$  starting at p).

We can do this process for every point in the boundary of M, and find an open cover  $U_i$  of  $\partial M$  with the respective diffeomorphisms  $\Phi_i : \mathcal{P}_{\delta_i} \to U_i$  and smooth functions  $\delta_i : U_i \cap \partial M \to \mathbb{R}^+$ .

If a point of the boundary p lies in the intersection of  $U_i$  and  $U_j$ , then by uniqueness of the integral curve of N starting at p (when it exists),  $\Phi_i(t, p)$  and  $\Phi_j(t, p)$  agree on their common domain. This shows we can glue the  $\Phi_i$  together into a single map  $\Phi$  on  $\bigcup_i \mathcal{P}_{\delta_i} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \partial M$ ,

containing  $\{0\} \times \partial M$ .

1) It will automatically satisfy that  $t \mapsto \Phi(t, p)$  is an integral curve starting at p.

This map will have a bijective differential at each point, inherited from the  $\Phi_i$ .

## We claim it will also inherit the injectivity.

If  $\Phi_i(t, p) = \Phi_j(t', p')$  for  $(t, p) \neq (t', p')$ , then we'd be having two integral curves of N, one starting at p and the other at p', which meet in a single point in  $U_i \cap U_j$ (which cannot be in the boundary, lest t = t' = 0, p = p' since these curves do not return to the boundary).

This implies these two curves agree on all of their image on  $\operatorname{Int} M$  (up to translation of domain by t' - t, assuming t < t') by the uniqueness of integral curves on a manifold without boundary. At this point we are considering these two curves being extended to the largest domain possible in  $\operatorname{Int} M$ .

This scenario cannot happen because if we look at the curve around p in  $U_i$  coordinates, it converges to p. This would mean in coordinates

$$p = \lim_{s \to 0} \Phi_i(s, p) = \lim_{s \to t' - t} \Phi_j(s, p') = \Phi_j(t' - t, p')$$

and again we would have t' - t = 0.

2) We conclude  $\Phi$  is an injective smooth immersion of an *n*-dimensional manifold, and must thus be a smooth embedding onto a neighborhood of  $\partial M$ .

We now just need to show that  $\bigcup_i \mathcal{P}_{\delta_i}$  has an open subset of the form

$$\{(t,p): p \in \partial M, 0 \le t < \delta(p)\} \quad (*)$$

for some positive smooth function  $\delta : \partial M \to \mathbb{R}^+$ . The restriction of  $\Phi$  to such set will also be a smooth embedding, and will automatically satisfy all the conditions of the theorem.

Consider a partition of unity subordinate to the open cover  $U_i$ , which we denote  $\psi_i$ .

Now we consider  $\delta(p) = \sum \psi_i(p)\delta_i(p)$ . This will clearly be positive and smooth.

It is evident that  $\delta \leq \max_i \delta_i$  so that  $\mathcal{P}_{\delta} \subseteq \bigcup_i \mathcal{P}_{\delta_i}$  is an open submanifold containg  $\{0\} \times \partial M$ , as we wanted.

n	-	-	
L			
L			
	_	_	